

# A functional limit theorem for partial sums of dependent random variables with infinite variance

Bojan Basrak, Danijel Krizmanić and Johan Segers

*B. Basrak*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Zagreb*  
*Bijenička 30, Zagreb*  
*Croatia*  
*e-mail: [bbasrak@math.hr](mailto:bbasrak@math.hr)*

*D. Krizmanić*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Rijeka*  
*Omladinska 14, Rijeka*  
*Croatia*  
*e-mail: [dkrizmanic@math.uniri.hr](mailto:dkrizmanic@math.uniri.hr)*

*J. Segers*  
*Institut de statistique*  
*Université catholique de Louvain*  
*Voie du Roman Pays 20, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve*  
*Belgium*  
*e-mail: [johan.segers@uclouvain.be](mailto:johan.segers@uclouvain.be)*

**Abstract:** Under an appropriate regular variation condition, the affinely normalized partial sums of a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables converges weakly to a non-Gaussian stable random variable. A functional version of this is known to be true as well, the limit process being a stable Lévy process. The main result in the paper is that for a stationary, regularly varying sequence for which clusters of high-threshold excesses can be broken down into asymptotically independent blocks, the properly centered partial sum process still converges to a stable Lévy process. Due to clustering, the Lévy triple of the limit process can be different from the one in the independent case. The convergence takes place in the space of càdlàg functions endowed with Skorohod's  $M_1$  topology, the more usual  $J_1$  topology being inappropriate as the partial sum processes may exhibit rapid successions of jumps within temporal clusters of large values, collapsing in the limit to a single jump. The result rests on a new limit theorem for point processes which is of independent interest. The theory is applied to moving average processes, squared GARCH(1,1) processes, and stochastic volatility models.

**AMS 2000 subject classifications:** Primary 60F17, 60G52; secondary 60G55, 60G70.

**Keywords and phrases:** convergence in distribution, functional limit theorem, GARCH, mixing, moving average, partial sum, point processes, regular variation, stable processes, spectral processes, stochastic volatility.

## 1. Introduction

Consider a stationary sequence of random variables  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and its accompanying sequence of partial sums  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . The main goal of this paper is to investigate the asymptotic distributional behavior of the  $D[0, 1]$  valued process

$$V_n(t) = a_n^{-1}(S_{[nt]} - [nt]b_n), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

under the properties of weak dependence and regular variation with index  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , where  $(a_n)_n$  is a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$nP(|X_1| > a_n) \rightarrow 1, \quad (1.1)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$b_n = E(X_1 1_{\{|X_1| \leq a_n\}}).$$

Here,  $[x]$  represents the integer part of the real number  $x$  and  $D[0, 1]$  is the space of real-valued càdlàg functions on  $[0, 1]$ .

Recall that if the sequence  $(X_n)$  is i.i.d. and if there exist real sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  and a nondegenerate random variable  $S$  such that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{S_n - b_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{d} S, \quad (1.2)$$

then  $S$  is necessarily an  $\alpha$ -stable random variable. In standard terminology, the law of  $X_1$  belongs to the domain of attraction of  $V(1)$ . The domain of attraction of non-Gaussian stable random variables can be completely characterized by an appropriate regular variation condition, see (2.1) below. Classical references in the i.i.d. case are the books by Feller [22] and Petrov [38], while in LePage et al. [30] one can find an elegant probabilistic proof of sufficiency and a nice representation of the limiting distribution.

Weakly dependent sequences can exhibit very similar behavior. The first results in this direction were rooted in martingale theory, see Durrett and Resnick [19]. In [12], Davis proved that if a regularly varying sequence  $(X_n)_n$  of random variables has tail index  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and satisfies a strengthened version of Leadbetter's  $D$  and  $D'$  conditions familiar from extreme value theory, then (1.2) holds for some  $\alpha$ -stable random variable  $S$  and properly chosen sequences  $(a_n)_n$  and  $(b_n)_n$ . These conditions are quite restrictive however, even excluding  $m$ -dependent sequences. For strongly mixing random sequences, a necessary and sufficient condition was obtained in Denker and Jakubowski [18] for the weak convergence of partial sums towards an  $\alpha$ -stable distribution. Later, in [14] Davis and Hsing showed that sequences which satisfy a regular variation condition for some  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and certain (even milder) mixing conditions also satisfy (1.2) with an  $\alpha$ -stable limit. Building upon the same point process approach, Davis and Mikosch [15] generalized these results to multivariate sequences. Most recently, Bartkiewicz et al. [3] provided a detailed study of the conditions for the convergence of the partial sums of a strictly stationary process to an infinite

variance stable distribution. They also determined the parameters of the limiting distribution in terms of some tail characteristics of the underlying stationary sequence.

The asymptotic behaviour of the processes  $V_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  is an extensively studied subject in the probability literature too. As the index of regular variation  $\alpha$  is assumed to be less than 2, the variance of  $X_1$  is infinite. In the finite-variance case, functional central limit theorems differ considerably and have been investigated in greater depth, see for instance Billingsley [7], Herrndorf [24], Merlevède and Peligrad [33], and Peligrad and Utev [37].

A very readable proof of the functional limit theorem for the processes  $V_n$  for infinite variance i.i.d. regularly varying sequences  $(X_n)_n$  can be found in Resnick [41]. Leadbetter and Rootzén [29] studied this question for more general sequences in the context of extreme value theory. They found necessary and sufficient conditions for the functional limit theorem to hold in Skorohod's  $J_1$  topology. However, this choice of topology excludes many important applied models. Avram and Taqqu [2] obtained a functional limit theorem in  $D[0, 1]$  endowed with Skorohod's  $M_1$  topology for sums of moving averages with non-negative coefficients (see Section 3 for the definition of the  $M_1$  topology). They also showed why the  $J_1$  metric is not well suited for studying weak convergence of the processes  $V_n$  when the variables  $X_n$  are not independent. For some more recent articles with related but somewhat different subjects we refer to Sly and Heyde [44] who obtained nonstandard limit theorems for functionals of regularly varying sequences with long-range Gaussian dependence structure sequences, and also to Aue et al. [1] who investigated the limit behavior of the functional CUSUM statistic and its randomly permuted version for i.i.d. random variables which are in the domain of attraction of a strictly  $\alpha$ -stable law, for  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ .

The main theorem of our article shows that for a stationary, regularly varying sequence for which clusters of high-threshold excesses can be broken down into asymptotically independent blocks, the properly centered partial sum process  $(V_n(t))_{t \in [0, 1]}$  converges to an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process in the space  $D[0, 1]$  endowed with Skorohod's  $M_1$  metric under the condition that all extremes within one such cluster have the same sign. Our method of proof combines some ideas used in the i.i.d. case by Resnick [40, 41] with a new point process convergence result and some particularities of the  $M_1$  metric on  $D[0, 1]$  that can be found in Whitt [45]. The theorem can be viewed as a generalization of results in Leadbetter and Rootzén [29], where clustering of extremes is essentially prohibited, and in Avram and Taqqu [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we determine precise conditions needed to separate clusters of extremes asymptotically. We also prove a new limit theorem for point processes which is the basis for the rest of the paper and which is of independent interest too. In Section 3 we state and prove our main functional limit theorem. We also discuss possible extensions of this result to other topologies. Finally, in Section 4 several examples of stationary sequences covered by our main theorem are discussed, in particular moving average and squared GARCH(1,1) processes.

## 2. Stationary regularly varying sequences

The extremal dynamics of a regularly varying stationary time series can be captured by its tail process, which is the conditional distribution of the series given that at a certain moment it is far away from the origin (Subsection 2.1). In particular, the tail process allows explicit descriptions of the limit distributions of various point processes of extremes (Subsection 2.2). The main result in this section is Theorem 2.3, providing the weak limit of a sequence of time-space point processes, recording both the occurrence times and the values of extreme values.

### 2.1. Tail processes

Denote  $\mathbb{E} = \overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{0\}$  where  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$ . The space  $\mathbb{E}$  is equipped with the topology which makes it homeomorphic to  $[-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$  (Euclidean topology) in the obvious way. In particular, a set  $B \subset \mathbb{E}$  has compact closure if and only if it is bounded away from zero, that is, if there exists  $u > 0$  such that  $B \subset \mathbb{E}_u = \mathbb{E} \setminus [-u, u]$ . Denote by  $C_K^+(\mathbb{E})$  the class of all nonnegative, continuous functions on  $\mathbb{E}$  with compact support.

We say that a strictly stationary process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is (*jointly*) *regularly varying* with index  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  if for any nonnegative integer  $k$  the  $k$ -dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$  is multivariate regularly varying with index  $\alpha$ , i.e. for some (and then for every) norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  there exists a random vector  $\Theta$  on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\| = 1\}$  such that for every  $u \in (0, \infty)$  and as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\frac{P(\|\mathbf{X}\| > ux, \mathbf{X}/\|\mathbf{X}\| \in \cdot)}{P(\|\mathbf{X}\| > x)} \xrightarrow{w} u^{-\alpha} P(\Theta \in \cdot), \quad (2.1)$$

the arrow “ $\xrightarrow{w}$ ” denoting weak convergence of finite measures. For an extensive and highly readable account of (multivariate) regular variation, see the monograph by Resnick [41].

Theorem 2.1 in Basrak and Segers [5] provides a convenient characterization of joint regular variation: it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a process  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $P(|Y_0| > y) = y^{-\alpha}$  for  $y \geq 1$  such that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$((x^{-1}X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid |X_0| > x) \xrightarrow{\text{fdi}} (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where “ $\xrightarrow{\text{fdi}}$ ” denotes convergence of finite-dimensional distributions. The process  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is called the *tail process* of  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Writing  $\Theta_n = Y_n/|Y_0|$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we also have

$$(|X_0|^{-1}X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid |X_0| > x \xrightarrow{\text{fdi}} (\Theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

see Corollary 3.2 in [5]. The process  $(\Theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is independent of  $|Y_0|$  and is called the *spectral (tail) process* of  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . The law of  $\Theta_0 = Y_0/|Y_0| \in \mathbb{S}^0 = \{-1, 1\}$  is the spectral measure of the common marginal distribution of the random

variables  $X_i$ . Regular variation of this marginal distribution can be expressed in terms of vague convergence of measures on  $\mathbb{E}$ : for  $a_n$  as in (1.1) and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$n \mathbb{P}(a_n^{-1} X_i \in \cdot) \xrightarrow{v} \mu(\cdot), \quad (2.3)$$

the Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{E}$  being given by

$$\mu(dx) = (p 1_{(0,\infty)}(x) + q 1_{(-\infty,0)}(x)) \alpha |x|^{-\alpha-1} dx, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \mathbb{P}(\Theta_0 = +1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_i > x)}{\mathbb{P}(|X_i| > x)}, \\ q &= \mathbb{P}(\Theta_0 = -1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_i < -x)}{\mathbb{P}(|X_i| > x)}. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2. Point processes convergence

Define the time-space point processes

$$N_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(i/n, X_i/a_n)} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.5)$$

with  $a_n$  as in (1.1). The aim of this section is to establish weak convergence of  $N_n$  in the state space  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u$  for  $u > 0$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_u = \mathbb{E} \setminus [-u, u]$ . The limit process is a Poisson superposition of cluster processes, whose distribution is determined by the tail process  $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Convergence of  $N_n$  was already alluded to without proof in Davis and Hsing [14] with a reference to Mori [35].

To control the dependence in the sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  we first have to assume that clusters of large values of  $|X_n|$  do not last for too long.

*Condition 2.1.* There exists a positive integer sequence  $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and such that for every  $u > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \max_{m \leq |i| \leq r_n} |X_i| > u a_n \mid |X_0| > u a_n \right) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Put  $M_{1,n} = \max\{|X_i| : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In Proposition 4.2 in [5], it has been shown that under the finite-cluster Condition 2.1 the following value

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(M_{1,r} \leq x \mid |X_0| > x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\sup_{i \geq 1} |Y_i| \leq 1) = \mathbb{P}(\sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

is strictly positive. By Remark 4.7 in [5], alternative expressions for  $\theta$  in (2.7) are

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{i \geq 1} |\Theta_i|^\alpha \leq y^{-\alpha} \right) d(-y^{-\alpha}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left( 1 - \sup_{i \geq 1} |\Theta_i|^\alpha, 0 \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{i \geq 0} |\Theta_i|^\alpha - \sup_{i \geq 1} |\Theta_i|^\alpha \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover it also holds that  $P(\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} |Y_n| = 0) = 1$ , and that for every  $u \in (0, \infty)$

$$P(M_{1,r_n} \leq a_n u \mid |X_0| > a_n u) = \frac{P(M_{1,r_n} > a_n u)}{r_n P(|X_0| > a_n u)} + o(1) \rightarrow \theta \quad (2.8)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $P(M_{1,r_n} > a_n u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we call the point process

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \delta_{(a_n u)^{-1} X_i} \quad \text{conditionally on } M_{1,r_n} > a_n u$$

a *cluster process*, to be thought of as a cluster of exceptionally large values occurring in a relatively short time span. Theorem 4.3 in [5] yields the weak convergence of the sequence of cluster processes in the state space  $\mathbb{E}$ :

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \delta_{(a_n u)^{-1} X_i} \mid M_{1,r_n} > a_n u \right) \xrightarrow{d} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{Y_n} \mid \sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1 \right). \quad (2.9)$$

Note that since  $|Y_n| \rightarrow 0$  almost surely as  $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ , the point process  $\sum_n \delta_{Y_n}$  is well-defined in  $\mathbb{E}$ . By (2.7), the probability of the conditioning event on the right-hand side of (2.9) is nonzero.

To establish convergence of  $N_n$  in (2.5), we need to impose a certain mixing condition called  $(\mathcal{A}'(a_n))$  which is slightly stronger than the condition  $\mathcal{A}(a_n)$  introduced in Davis and Hsing [14].

*Condition 2.2*  $(\mathcal{A}'(a_n))$ . There exists a sequence of positive integers  $(r_n)_n$  such that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and such that for every  $f \in C_K^+([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E})$ , denoting  $k_n = \lfloor n/r_n \rfloor$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \frac{i}{n}, \frac{X_i}{a_n} \right) \right\} \right] - \prod_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} f \left( \frac{kr_n}{n}, \frac{X_i}{a_n} \right) \right\} \right] \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.10)$$

It can be shown that Condition 2.2 is implied by the strong mixing property, see Krizmanić [28].

**Theorem 2.3.** *If Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 hold, then for every  $u \in (0, \infty)$  and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$N_n \xrightarrow{d} N^{(u)} = \sum_i \sum_j \delta_{(T_i^{(u)}, u Z_{ij})} \Big|_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}_u},$$

in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_u = \mathbb{E} \setminus [-u, u]$  and

1.  $\sum_i \delta_{T_i^{(u)}}$  is a homogeneous Poisson process on  $[0, 1]$  with intensity  $\theta u^{-\alpha}$ ,
2.  $(\sum_j \delta_{Z_{ij}})_i$  is an i.i.d. sequence of point processes in  $\mathbb{E}$ , independent of  $\sum_i \delta_{T_i^{(u)}}$ , and with common distribution equal to the weak limit in (2.9).

In the setting of Theorem 2.3, the quantity  $\theta$  in (2.7) is the *extremal index* of the sequence  $(|X_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ : for all  $u \in (0, \infty)$  and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(M_{1,n} \leq a_n u) = (\mathbb{P}(|X_1| \leq a_n u))^{n\theta} + o(1) \rightarrow e^{-\theta u^{-\alpha}},$$

see [5, Remark 4.7]. It can be shown that Theorem 2.3 is still valid if  $\mathbb{E}_u$  is replaced by  $\overline{\mathbb{E}}_u = [-\infty, -u] \cup [u, \infty]$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* Let  $(X_{k,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , be independent copies of  $(X_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and define

$$\hat{N}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \hat{N}_{n,k} \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{N}_{n,k} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} \delta_{(kr_n/n, X_{k,j}/a_n)}.$$

By Condition 2.2, the weak limits of  $N_n$  and  $\hat{N}_n$  must coincide. By Kallenberg [25, Theorem 4.2] it is enough to show that the Laplace functionals of  $\hat{N}_n$  converge to those of  $N^{(u)}$ . Take  $f \in C_K^+([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u)$ . We extend  $f$  to the whole of  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}$  by setting  $f(t, x) = 0$  whenever  $|x| \leq u$ ; in this way,  $f$  becomes a bounded, nonnegative and continuous function on  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}$ . There exists  $M \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $0 \leq f(t, x) \leq M 1_{[-u, u]^c}(x)$ . Hence as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \mathbb{E} e^{-\hat{N}_{n,k} f} \geq \mathbb{E} e^{-M \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} 1_{(|X_i| > a_n u)}} \\ &\geq 1 - M r_n \mathbb{P}(|X_0| > a_n u) = 1 - O(k_n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In combination with the elementary bound  $0 \leq -\log z - (1 - z) \leq (1 - z)^2/z$  for  $z \in (0, 1]$ , it follows that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$-\log \mathbb{E} e^{-\hat{N}_n f} = -\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \log \mathbb{E} e^{-\hat{N}_{n,k} f} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (1 - \mathbb{E} e^{-\hat{N}_{n,k} f}) + O(k_n^{-1}).$$

By (2.8),  $k_n \mathbb{P}(M_{1,r_n} > a_n u) \rightarrow \theta u^{-\alpha}$  for  $u \in (0, \infty)$  and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (1 - \mathbb{E} e^{-\hat{N}_{n,k} f}) \\ &= k_n \mathbb{P}(M_{1,r_n} > a_n u) \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E} \left[ 1 - e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} f(kr_n/n, X_j/a_n)} \mid M_{1,r_n} > a_n u \right] \\ &= \theta u^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E} \left[ 1 - e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} f(kr_n/n, X_j/a_n)} \mid M_{1,r_n} > a_n u \right] + o(1). \quad (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

Let the random variable  $T_n$  be uniformly distributed on  $\{kr_n/n : k = 1, \dots, k_n\}$  and independent of  $(X_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . By the previous display, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (1 - \mathbb{E} e^{-\hat{N}_{n,k} f}) = \theta u^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[ 1 - e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} f(T_n, u X_j/(u a_n))} \mid M_{1,r_n} > a_n u \right] + o(1).$$

The sequence  $T_n$  converges in law to a uniformly distributed random variable  $T$  on  $(0, 1)$ . By (2.9) and by independence of sequences  $(T_n)$  and  $(X_n)_n$

$$\left( T_n, \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \delta_{a_n^{-1} X_i} \middle| M_{1,r_n} > a_n u \right) \xrightarrow{d} \left( T, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_u Z_n \right).$$

where  $\sum_n \delta_{Z_n}$  is a point process on  $\mathbb{E}$ , independent of the random variable  $T$ , and with distribution equal to the weak limit in (2.9). Thus, the expressions in (2.11) converge as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to

$$\theta u^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[ 1 - e^{-\sum_j f(T, u Z_j)} \right] = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left[ 1 - e^{-\sum_j f(t, u Z_j)} \right] \theta u^{-\alpha} dt. \quad (2.12)$$

It remains to be shown that the right-hand side above equals  $-\log \mathbb{E} e^{-N^{(u)} f}$  for  $N^{(u)}$  as in the theorem.

Define  $g(t) = \mathbb{E} \exp\{-\sum_j f(t, u Z_j)\}$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $\sum_i \delta_{T_i^{(u)}}$  is independent of the i.i.d. sequence  $(\sum_j \delta_{Z_{ij}})_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} e^{-N^{(u)} f} &= \mathbb{E} e^{-\sum_i \sum_j f(T_i^{(u)}, u Z_{ij})} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_i \mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\sum_j f(T_i^{(u)}, u Z_{ij})} \middle| (T_k^{(u)})_k \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} e^{\sum_i \log g(T_i^{(u)})}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is the Laplace functional of a homogeneous Poisson process on  $[0, 1]$  with intensity  $\theta u^{-\alpha}$  evaluated in the function  $-\log g$ . Therefore, it is equal to

$$\exp \left( - \int_0^1 \{1 - g(t)\} \theta u^{-\alpha} dt \right),$$

see for instance Embrechts et al. [21, Lemma 5.1.12]; note that  $0 \leq g \leq 1$ . By the definition of  $g$ , the integral in the exponent is equal to the one in (2.12). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. Functional limit theorem

The main result in the paper states convergence of the partial sum process  $V_n$  to a stable Lévy process in the space  $D[0, 1]$  equipped with Skorohod's  $M_1$  topology. The core of the proof rests on an application of the continuous mapping theorem: the partial sum process  $V_n$  is represented as the image of the time-space point process  $N_n$  in (2.5) under a certain summation functional. This summation functional enjoys the right continuity properties by which the weak convergence of  $N_n$  in Theorem 2.3 transfers to weak convergence of  $V_n$ .

The definition and basic properties of the  $M_1$  topology are recalled in Subsection 3.1. In Subsection 3.2, the focus is on the summation functional and its continuity properties. The main result of the paper then comes in Subsection 3.3, some discussion of which is provided in Subsection 3.4.

### 3.1. The $M_1$ topology

The metric  $d_{M_1}$  that generates the  $M_1$  topology on  $D[0, 1]$  is defined using completed graphs. For  $x \in D[0, 1]$  the *completed graph* of  $x$  is the set

$$\Gamma_x = \{(t, z) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} : z = \lambda x(t-) + (1 - \lambda)x(t) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\},$$

where  $x(t-)$  is the left limit of  $x$  at  $t$ . Besides the points of the graph  $\{(t, x(t)) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ , the completed graph of  $x$  also contains the vertical line segments joining  $(t, x(t))$  and  $(t, x(t-))$  for all discontinuity points  $t$  of  $x$ . We define an *order* on the graph  $\Gamma_x$  by saying that  $(t_1, z_1) \leq (t_2, z_2)$  if either (i)  $t_1 < t_2$  or (ii)  $t_1 = t_2$  and  $|x(t_1-) - z_1| \leq |x(t_2-) - z_2|$ . A *parametric representation* of the completed graph  $\Gamma_x$  is a continuous nondecreasing function  $(r, u)$  mapping  $[0, 1]$  onto  $\Gamma_x$ , with  $r$  being the time component and  $u$  being the spatial component. Let  $\Pi(x)$  denote the set of parametric representations of the graph  $\Gamma_x$ . For  $x_1, x_2 \in D[0, 1]$  define

$$d_{M_1}(x_1, x_2) = \inf\{\|r_1 - r_2\|_{[0,1]} \vee \|u_1 - u_2\|_{[0,1]} : (r_i, u_i) \in \Pi(x_i), i = 1, 2\},$$

where  $\|x\|_{[0,1]} = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . This definition introduces  $d_{M_1}$  as a metric on  $D[0, 1]$ . The induced topology is called Skorohod's  $M_1$  topology and is weaker than the more frequently used  $J_1$  topology which is also due to Skorohod.

The  $M_1$  topology allows for a jump in the limit function  $x \in D[0, 1]$  to be approached by multiple jumps in the converging functions  $x_n \in D[0, 1]$ . Let for instance

$$x_n(t) = \frac{1}{2} 1_{[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2})}(t) + 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(t), \quad x(t) = 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(t),$$

for  $n \geq 3$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $d_{M_1}(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , although  $(x_n)_n$  does not converge to  $x$  in either the uniform or the  $J_1$  metric. For more discussion of the  $M_1$  topology we refer to Avram and Taqqu [2] and Whitt [45].

### 3.2. Summation functional

Fix  $0 < v < u < \infty$ . The proof of our main theorem depends on the continuity properties of the summation functional

$$\psi^{(u)} : \mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v) \rightarrow D[0, 1]$$

defined by

$$\psi^{(u)}\left(\sum_i \delta_{(t_i, x_i)}\right)(t) = \sum_{t_i \leq t} x_i 1_{\{u < |x_i| < \infty\}}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Observe that  $\psi^{(u)}$  is well defined because  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u$  is a relatively compact subset of  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v$ . The space  $\mathbf{M}_p$  of Radon point measures is equipped with the vague topology and  $D[0, 1]$  is equipped with the  $M_1$  topology.

We will show that  $\psi^{(u)}$  is continuous on the set  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$ , where

$$\Lambda_1 = \{\eta \in \mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v) : \eta(\{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{E}_u) = 0 = \eta([0, 1] \times \{\pm\infty, \pm u\})\},$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \{\eta \in \mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v) : \eta(\{t\} \times (v, \infty]) \wedge \eta(\{t\} \times [-\infty, -v)) = 0 \\ \text{for all } t \in [0, 1]\};$$

we write  $s \wedge t$  for  $\min(s, t)$ . Observe that the elements of  $\Lambda_2$  have the property that atoms with the same time coordinate are all on the same side of the time axis.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume that with probability one, the tail process  $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in (2.2) has no two values of the opposite sign. Then  $P(N^{(v)} \in \Lambda) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* From the definition of the tail process  $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  we know that  $P(Y_i = \pm\infty) = 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, by the spectral decomposition  $Y_i = |Y_0|\Theta_i$  into independent components  $|Y_0|$  and  $\Theta_i$  with  $|Y_0|$  a Pareto random variable, it follows that  $Y_i$  cannot have any atoms perhaps maybe at the origin. As a consequence, it holds with probability one that  $\sum_j \delta_{vY_j}(\{\pm u\}) = 0$  and thus that  $\sum_j \delta_{vZ_{ij}}(\{\pm u\}) = 0$  as well. Together with the fact that  $P(\sum_i \delta_{T_i^{(v)}}(\{0, 1\}) = 0) = 1$  this implies  $P(N^{(v)} \in \Lambda_1) = 1$ .

Second, the assumption that with probability one the tail process  $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has no two values of the opposite sign yields  $P(N^{(v)} \in \Lambda_2) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The summation functional  $\psi^{(u)}: \mathbf{M}_p([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v) \rightarrow D[0, 1]$  is continuous on the set  $\Lambda$ , when  $D[0, 1]$  is endowed with Skorohod's  $M_1$  metric.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\eta_n \xrightarrow{v} \eta$  in  $\mathbf{M}_p$  for some  $\eta \in \Lambda$ . We will show that  $\psi^{(u)}(\eta_n) \rightarrow \psi^{(u)}(\eta)$  in  $D[0, 1]$  according to the  $M_1$  topology. By Corollary 12.5.1 in Whitt [45],  $M_1$  convergence for monotone functions amounts to pointwise convergence in a dense subset of points plus convergence at the endpoints. Our proof is based on an extension of this criterion to piecewise monotone functions. This cut-and-paste approach is justified in view of Lemma 12.9.2 in Whitt [45], provided that the limit function is continuous at the cutting points.

As  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u$  is relatively compact in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_v$  there exists a nonnegative integer  $k = k(\eta)$  such that

$$\eta([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u) = k < \infty.$$

By assumption,  $\eta$  does not have any atoms on the horizontal lines at  $u$  or  $-u$ . As a consequence, by Lemma 7.1 in Resnick [41] there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  it holds that

$$\eta_n([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u) = k.$$

If  $k = 0$ , there is nothing to prove, so assume  $k \geq 1$  and let  $(t_i, x_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  be the atoms of  $\eta$  in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u$ . By the same lemma, the  $k$  atoms

$(t_i^{(n)}, x_i^{(n)})$  of  $\eta_n$  in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u$  (for  $n \geq n_0$ ) can be labelled in such a way that for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  we have

$$(t_i^{(n)}, x_i^{(n)}) \rightarrow (t_i, x_i), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, for any  $\delta > 0$  we can find a positive integer  $n_\delta$  such that for all  $n \geq n_\delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n([0, 1] \times \mathbb{E}_u) &= k, \\ |t_i^{(n)} - t_i| < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| < \delta, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Let the sequence

$$0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_p < 1$$

be such that the sets  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_p\}$  and  $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  coincide. Note that  $p \leq k$  always holds, but since  $\eta$  can have several atoms with the same time coordinate, equality does not hold in general. Put  $\tau_0 = 0$ ,  $\tau_{p+1} = 1$  and take

$$0 < r < \frac{1}{2} \min_{0 \leq i \leq p} |\tau_{i+1} - \tau_i|.$$

For any  $t \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_p\}$  we can find  $\delta \in (0, u)$  such that

$$\delta < r \quad \text{and} \quad \delta < \min_{1 \leq i \leq p} |t - \tau_i|.$$

Then relation (3.1), for  $n \geq n_\delta$ , implies that  $t_i^{(n)} \leq t$  is equivalent to  $t_i \leq t$ , and we obtain

$$|\psi^{(u)}(\eta_n)(t) - \psi^{(u)}(\eta)(t)| = \left| \sum_{t_i^{(n)} \leq t} x_i^{(n)} - \sum_{t_i \leq t} x_i \right| \leq \sum_{t_i \leq t} \delta \leq k\delta.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\psi^{(u)}(\eta_n)(t) - \psi^{(u)}(\eta)(t)| \leq k\delta,$$

and if we let  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that  $\psi^{(u)}(\eta_n)(t) \rightarrow \psi^{(u)}(\eta)(t)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Put

$$v_i = \tau_i + r, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, p\}.$$

For any  $\delta < u \wedge r$ , relation (3.1) and the fact that  $\eta \in \Lambda$  imply that the functions  $\psi^{(u)}(\eta)$  and  $\psi^{(u)}(\eta_n)$  ( $n \geq n_\delta$ ) are monotone on each of the intervals  $[0, v_1], [v_1, v_2], \dots, [v_p, 1]$ . A combination of Corollary 12.5.1 and Lemma 12.9.2 in Whitt [45] yields  $d_{M_1}(\psi^{(u)}(\eta_n), \psi^{(u)}(\eta)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The application of Lemma 12.9.2 is justified by continuity of  $\psi^{(u)}(\eta)$  in the boundary points  $v_1, \dots, v_p$ . We conclude that  $\psi^{(u)}$  is continuous at  $\eta$ .  $\square$

### 3.3. Main theorem

Let  $(X_n)_n$  be a strictly stationary sequence of random variables, jointly regularly varying with index  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and tail process  $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . The theorem below gives conditions under which its partial sum process satisfies a nonstandard functional limit theorem with a non-Gaussian  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process as a limit. Recall that the distribution of a Lévy process  $V(\cdot)$  is characterized by its *characteristic triple*, i.e. the characteristic triple of the infinitely divisible distribution of  $V(1)$ . The characteristic function of  $V(1)$  and the characteristic triple  $(a, \nu, b)$  are related in the following way:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{izV(1)}] = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}az^2 + ibz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izx} - 1 - izx1_{[-1,1]}(x)) \nu(dx)\right)$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ; here  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants, and  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\nu(\{0\}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty,$$

that is,  $\nu$  is a Lévy measure. For a textbook treatment of Lévy processes we refer to Bertoin [6] and Sato [43]. The description of the Lévy triple of the limit process will be in terms of the measures  $\nu^{(u)}$  ( $u > 0$ ) on  $\mathbb{E}$  defined for  $x > 0$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^{(u)}(x, \infty) &= u^{-\alpha} \mathbb{P}\left(u \sum_{i \geq 0} Y_i 1_{\{|Y_i| > 1\}} > x, \sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1\right), \\ \nu^{(u)}(-\infty, -x) &= u^{-\alpha} \mathbb{P}\left(u \sum_{i \geq 0} Y_i 1_{\{|Y_i| > 1\}} < -x, \sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

In case  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ , we will need to assume that the contribution of the smaller increments of the partial sum process is close to its expectation.

*Condition 3.3.* For all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right) \right| > \delta \right] = 0.$$

Condition 3.3 holds for instance if  $(X_n)_n$  is  $\rho$ -mixing at a certain rate, see Proposition 3.7 in Subsection 3.4, in which some variations of Theorem 3.4 are discussed as well.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a strictly stationary sequence of random variables, jointly regularly varying with index  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , and of which the tail process  $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  almost surely has no two values of the opposite sign. Suppose that Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 hold. If  $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ , also suppose that Condition 3.3 holds. Then the partial sum stochastic process*

$$V_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{X_k}{a_n} - \lfloor nt \rfloor \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq 1 \right\}} \right), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

satisfies

$$V_n \xrightarrow{d} V, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

in  $D[0, 1]$  endowed with the  $M_1$  topology, where  $V(\cdot)$  is an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process with Lévy triple  $(0, \nu, b)$  given by the limits

$$\nu^{(u)} \xrightarrow{v} \nu, \quad \int_{x: u < |x| \leq 1} x \nu^{(u)}(dx) - \int_{x: u < |x| \leq 1} x \mu(dx) \rightarrow b$$

as  $u \downarrow 0$ , with  $\nu^{(u)}$  as in (3.2) and  $\mu$  as in (2.4).

*Proof.* Note that from Theorem 2.3 and the fact that  $|Y_n| \rightarrow 0$  almost surely as  $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ , the random variables

$$u \sum_j Z_{ij} 1_{\{|Z_{ij}| > 1\}}$$

are i.i.d. and almost surely finite. Define

$$\hat{N}^{(u)} = \sum_i \delta_{(T_i^{(u)}, u \sum_j Z_{ij} 1_{\{|Z_{ij}| > 1\}})}.$$

Then by Proposition 5.3 in Resnick [41],  $\hat{N}^{(u)}$  is a Poisson process (or a Poisson random measure) with mean measure

$$\theta u^{-\alpha} \lambda \times F^{(u)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure and  $F^{(u)}$  is the distribution of the random variable  $u \sum_j Z_{1j} 1_{\{|Z_{1j}| > 1\}}$ . But for  $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$  and  $x > 0$ , using the fact that the distribution of  $\sum_j \delta_{Z_{1j}}$  is equal to the one of  $\sum_j \delta_{Y_j}$  conditionally on the event  $\{\sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta u^{-\alpha} \lambda \times F^{(u)}([s, t] \times (x, \infty)) &= \theta u^{-\alpha} (t - s) F^{(u)}((x, \infty)) \\ &= \theta u^{-\alpha} (t - s) \mathbb{P}\left(u \sum_j Z_{1j} 1_{\{|Z_{1j}| > 1\}} > x\right) \\ &= \theta u^{-\alpha} (t - s) \mathbb{P}\left(u \sum_j Y_j 1_{\{|Y_j| > 1\}} > x \mid \sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1\right) \\ &= \theta u^{-\alpha} (t - s) \frac{\mathbb{P}(u \sum_j Y_j 1_{\{|Y_j| > 1\}} > x, \sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1)}{\mathbb{P}(\sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1)} \\ &= u^{-\alpha} (t - s) \mathbb{P}\left(u \sum_j Y_j 1_{\{|Y_j| > 1\}} > x, \sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1\right) \\ &= \lambda \times \nu^{(u)}([s, t] \times (x, \infty)) \end{aligned}$$

The same can be done for the set  $[s, t] \times (-\infty, -x)$ , so that the mean measure in (3.3) is equal to  $\lambda \times \nu^{(u)}$ .

Consider now  $0 < u < v$  and

$$\psi^{(u)}(N_n |_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}_u})(\cdot) = \psi^{(u)}(N_n |_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}_v})(\cdot) = \sum_{i/n \leq \cdot} \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_i|}{a_n} > u\right\}},$$

which by Lemma 3.2 converges in distribution in  $D[0, 1]$  under the  $M_1$  metric to

$$\psi^{(u)}(N^{(v)})(\cdot) = \psi^{(u)}(N^{(v)} |_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}_u})(\cdot).$$

However, by the definition of the process  $N^{(u)}$  in Theorem 2.3 it holds that

$$N^{(u)} \stackrel{d}{=} N^{(v)} \Big|_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{E}_u},$$

for every  $v \in (0, u)$ . Therefore the last expression above is equal in distribution to

$$\psi^{(u)}(N^{(u)})(\cdot) = \sum_{T_i^{(u)} \leq \cdot} \sum_j u Z_{ij} 1_{\{|Z_{ij}| > 1\}}.$$

But since  $\psi^{(u)}(N^{(u)}) = \psi^{(u)}(\widehat{N}^{(u)}) \stackrel{d}{=} \psi^{(u)}(\widetilde{N}^{(u)})$ , where

$$\widetilde{N}^{(u)} = \sum_i \delta_{(T_i, K_i^{(u)})}$$

is a Poisson process with mean measure  $\lambda \times \nu^{(u)}$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n \cdot \rfloor} \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_i|}{a_n} > u\right\}} \xrightarrow{d} \sum_{T_i \leq \cdot} K_i^{(u)}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

in  $D[0, 1]$  under the  $M_1$  metric. From (2.3) we have, for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor nt \rfloor \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{u < \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq 1\right\}} \right) &= \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor}{n} \int_{\{x : u < |x| \leq 1\}} x n \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{X_1}{a_n} \in dx \right) \\ &\rightarrow t \int_{\{x : u < |x| \leq 1\}} x \mu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

This convergence is uniform in  $t$  and hence

$$\lfloor n \cdot \rfloor \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{u < \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq 1\right\}} \right) \rightarrow (\cdot) \int_{\{x : u < |x| \leq 1\}} x \mu(dx)$$

in  $D[0, 1]$ . Since the latter function is continuous, we can apply Corollary 12.7.1 in Whitt [45], giving a sufficient criterion for addition to be continuous. We obtain, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} V_n^{(u)}(\cdot) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n \cdot \rfloor} \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{\frac{|X_i|}{a_n} > u\right\}} - \lfloor n \cdot \rfloor \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{u < \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq 1\right\}} \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} V^{(u)}(\cdot) := \sum_{T_i \leq \cdot} K_i^{(u)} - (\cdot) \int_{\{x : u < |x| \leq 1\}} x \mu(dx). \quad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

The limit (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{T_i \leq \cdot} K_i^{(u)} - (\cdot) \int_{\{x: u < |x| \leq 1\}} x \nu^{(u)}(dx) \\ + (\cdot) \left( \int_{\{x: u < |x| \leq 1\}} x \nu^{(u)}(dx) - \int_{\{x: u < |x| \leq 1\}} x \mu(dx) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first two terms represent a Lévy–Itô representation of the Lévy process with characteristic triple  $(0, \nu^{(u)}, 0)$ , see Resnick [41, p. 150]. The remaining term is just a linear function of the form  $t \mapsto t b_u$ . As a consequence, the process  $V^{(u)}$  is a Lévy process for each  $u < 1$ , with characteristic triple  $(0, \nu^{(u)}, b_u)$ , where

$$b_u = \int_{\{x: u < |x| \leq 1\}} x \nu^{(u)}(dx) - \int_{\{x: u < |x| \leq 1\}} x \mu(dx).$$

By Theorem 3.1 in Davis and Hsing [14], for  $t = 1$ ,  $V^{(u)}(1)$  converges to an  $\alpha$ -stable random variable. Hence by Theorem 13.17 in Kallenberg [26], there is a Lévy process  $V(\cdot)$  such that, as  $u \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$V^{(u)}(\cdot) \xrightarrow{d} V(\cdot)$$

in  $D[0, 1]$  with the  $M_1$  metric. It has characteristic triple  $(0, \nu, b)$ , where  $\nu$  is the vague limit of  $\nu^{(u)}$  as  $u \rightarrow 0$  and  $b = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} b_u$ , see Theorem 13.14 in [26]. Since the random variable  $V(1)$  has an  $\alpha$ -stable distribution, it follows that the process  $V(\cdot)$  is  $\alpha$ -stable.

If we show that

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[d_{M_1}(V_n^{(u)}, V_n) > \delta] = 0$$

for any  $\delta > 0$ , then by Theorem 3.5 in Resnick [41] we will have, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$V_n \xrightarrow{d} V$$

in  $D[0, 1]$  with the  $M_1$  metric. Since the Skorohod  $M_1$  metric on  $D[0, 1]$  is bounded above by the uniform metric on  $D[0, 1]$ , it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |V_n^{(u)}(t) - V_n(t)| > \delta \right) = 0.$$

Recalling the definitions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |V_n^{(u)}(t) - V_n(t)| > \delta \right) \\
&= \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \lfloor nt \rfloor \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right| > \delta \right] \\
&= \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right\} \right| > \delta \right] \\
&= \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right\} \right| > \delta \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have to show

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right\} \right| > \delta \right] = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

For  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$  this relation is simply Condition 3.3. Therefore it remains to show (3.5) for the case when  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Hence assume  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . For an arbitrary (and fixed)  $\delta > 0$  define

$$I(u, n) = \mathbb{P} \left[ \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right\} \right| > \delta \right].$$

Using stationarity and Chebyshev's inequality we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u, n) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right| > \delta \right] \\
&\leq \delta^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right| \right] \\
&\leq 2\delta^{-1} n \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \\
&= 2\delta^{-1} u \cdot n \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > a_n) \cdot \frac{\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > ua_n)}{\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > a_n)} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}(|X_1| 1_{\{|X_1| \leq ua_n\}})}{ua_n \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > ua_n)}. \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_1$  is a regularly varying random variable with index  $\alpha$ , it follows immediately that

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > ua_n)}{\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > a_n)} \rightarrow u^{-\alpha},$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Karamata's theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|X_1| 1_{\{|X_1| \leq ua_n\}})}{ua_n \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > ua_n)} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Thus from (3.6), taking into account relation (1.1), we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u, n) \leq 2\delta^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} u^{1-\alpha}.$$

Letting  $u \rightarrow 0$ , since  $1 - \alpha > 0$ , we finally obtain

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u, n) = 0,$$

and relation (3.5) holds. Therefore  $V_n \xrightarrow{d} V$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $D[0, 1]$  endowed with the  $M_1$  topology.  $\square$

### 3.4. Discussion

Here we revisit the conditions and the conclusions of Theorem 3.4 and provide some additional insights. If the spectral tail process  $(\Theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfies a certain integrability condition, the formula for the Lévy measure  $\nu$  simplifies considerably (Remark 3.5). In case  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the centering function in the definition of  $V_n$  can be removed (Remark 3.6). In the other case,  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ , the centering function cannot be omitted, and one way of checking Condition 3.3 is via  $\rho$ -mixing (Proposition 3.7). Finally, convergence in the  $L_1$  metric does not require the assumption about the signs in the tail process, while convergence in the  $J_1$  metric is possible if the definition of the partial sum process is altered in suitable way (Remark 3.8).

*Remark 3.5.* The Lévy measure  $\nu$  satisfies the scaling property

$$\nu(s \cdot) = s^{-\alpha} \nu(\cdot)$$

see Theorem 14.3 in Sato [43]. In particular,  $\nu$  can be written as

$$\nu(dx) = (c_+ 1_{(0, \infty)}(x) + c_- 1_{(-\infty, 0)}(x)) \alpha |x|^{-\alpha-1} dx,$$

for some nonnegative constants  $c_+$  and  $c_-$ , and therefore  $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ . Thus, from Theorem 3.2 in Resnick [41] we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_+ &= \nu(1, \infty) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \nu^{(u)}(1, \infty) \\ &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} u^{-\alpha} \mathbb{P} \left( u \sum_{i \geq 0} Y_i 1_{\{|Y_i| > 1\}} > 1, \sup_{i \leq -1} |Y_i| \leq 1 \right) \\ &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} u^{-\alpha} \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P} \left( u \sum_{i \geq 0} r \Theta_i 1_{\{r|\Theta_i| > 1\}} > 1, \sup_{i \leq -1} r|\Theta_i| \leq 1 \right) d(-r^{-\alpha}) \\ &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \int_u^\infty \mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} r \Theta_j 1_{\{r|\Theta_j| > u\}} > 1, \sup_{i \leq -1} r|\Theta_i| \leq u \right) d(-r^{-\alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$c_- = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \int_u^\infty \mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} r \Theta_j 1_{\{r|\Theta_j| > u\}} < -1, \sup_{i \leq -1} r|\Theta_i| \leq u \right) d(-r^{-\alpha}).$$

Now suppose further that

$$\mathbb{E}[(\sum_{i \geq 0} |\Theta_i|)^\alpha] < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Then by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} c_+ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} r\Theta_i > 1; \forall i \leq -1 : \Theta_i = 0\right) d(-r^{-\alpha}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\{\max(\sum_{i \geq 0} \Theta_i, 0)\}^\alpha 1_{\{\forall i \leq -1 : \Theta_i = 0\}}], \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$c_- = \mathbb{E}[\{\max(-\sum_{i \geq 0} \Theta_i, 0)\}^\alpha 1_{\{\forall i \leq -1 : \Theta_i = 0\}}]. \quad (3.9)$$

These relations can be applied to obtain the Lévy measure  $\nu$  for certain heavy-tailed moving average processes (Example 4.3).  $\square$

*Remark 3.6.* If  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the centering function in the definition of the stochastic process  $V_n(\cdot)$  can be removed and this removing affects the characteristic triple of the limiting process in the way we describe here.

By Karamata's theorem, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$n \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\{\frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq 1\}}\right) \rightarrow (p - q) \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha},$$

with  $p$  and  $q$  as in (2.4). Thus, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lfloor n \cdot \rfloor \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\{\frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq 1\}}\right) \rightarrow (\cdot)(p - q) \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}$$

in  $D[0, 1]$ , which leads to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n \cdot \rfloor} \frac{X_k}{a_n} \xrightarrow{d} V(\cdot) + (\cdot)(p - q) \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}$$

in  $D[0, 1]$  endowed with the  $M_1$  topology. The characteristic triple of the limiting process is therefore  $(0, \nu, b')$  with  $b' = b + (p - q)\alpha/(1 - \alpha)$ .  $\square$

Condition 3.3 is in general difficult to check. The next proposition gives one sufficient condition for Condition 3.3 to hold. It contains the notion of  $\rho$ -mixing. We say that a strictly stationary sequence of random variables  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $\rho$ -mixing if

$$\rho_n = \sup\{|\text{corr}(Y, Z)| : Y \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^0), Z \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_n^\infty)\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that  $\rho$ -mixing implies strong mixing, whereas the converse in general does not hold, see Bradley [9].

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $(X_n)_n$  be a strictly stationary sequence of regularly varying random variables with index  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ , and  $(a_n)_n$  a sequence of positive real numbers such that (1.1) holds. If the sequence  $(X_n)_n$  is  $\rho$ -mixing with*

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \rho_{\lfloor 2^{j/3} \rfloor} < \infty,$$

*then Condition 3.3 holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary. As in the proof of Theorem 3.4, define

$$I(u, n) = \mathbb{P} \left[ \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_i}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right\} \right| > \delta \right].$$

Then from Corollary 2.1 in Peligrad [36] we obtain

$$I(u, n) \leq \delta^{-2} C \exp \left( 8 \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor} \rho_{\lfloor 2^{j/3} \rfloor} \right) n \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\{ \frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right) \right\}^2 \right],$$

for some positive constant  $C$ . By assumption there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, n) &\leq CL\delta^{-2} n \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{X_1}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{|X_1|}{a_n} \leq u \right\}} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= CL\delta^{-2} u^2 \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_1^2 1_{\{|X_1| \leq ua_n\}})}{(ua_n)^2 \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > ua_n)} \cdot n \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > ua_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now using Karamata's theorem and the fact that  $X_1$  is regularly varying, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u, n) \leq CL\delta^{-2} \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha} u^{2-\alpha}.$$

Since  $2 - \alpha > 0$ , we find  $\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u, n) = 0$ , yielding Condition 3.3.  $\square$

*Remark 3.8.* The assumption that the tail process has no two values of the opposite sign almost surely is crucial to obtain weak convergence of the partial sum process in the  $M_1$  topology. If we drop this assumption, then it is still possible to obtain the convergence, but in the topology induced by the  $L_1$  metric on  $D[0, 1]$ . It is known that the  $L_1$  topology is weaker than  $M_1$  topology (see Whitt [45, p. 460]).

The key step is to prove a version of Lemma 3.2 with  $D[0, 1]$  endowed with the  $L_1$  topology. Then one can repeat the proof of Theorem 3.4, with all the assumptions from that theorem apart from the assumption on the tail process, to obtain the weak convergence of the partial sum process  $V_n(\cdot)$  to a stable Lévy process in  $D[0, 1]$  under the  $L_1$  metric. For details, we refer to Krizmanić [28].

Theorem 3.4 becomes false if we replace the  $M_1$  topology by Skorohod's  $J_1$  topology: for finite order moving average processes with at least two nonzero coefficients, Theorem 1 in Avram and Taqqu [2] shows that the sequence of partial sum stochastic processes  $V_n$  cannot have a weak limit in the  $J_1$  topology. Still, by altering the definition of the partial sum process so as to kill the within-cluster fluctuations, one can recover the  $J_1$  convergence for mixing sequences as well. Instead of the process  $t \mapsto S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}$ , one might for instance consider the process  $t \mapsto S_{r_n \lfloor k_n t \rfloor}$ . Again, we decided not to pursue this here.  $\square$

#### 4. Examples

In case of asymptotic independence, the limiting stable Lévy process is the same as in the case of an i.i.d. sequence with the same marginal distribution (Examples 4.1 and 4.2). Heavy-tailed moving averages and GARCH(1,1) processes (Example 4.3 and 4.4 respectively) yield more interesting limits.

*Example 4.1* (Isolated extremes models). Suppose  $(X_n)$  is a strictly stationary and strongly mixing sequence of regularly varying random variables with index  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  that satisfies the dependence condition  $D'$  in Davis [12], i.e.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{|X_0|}{a_n} > x, \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} > x \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x > 0,$$

where  $(a_n)_n$  is a positive real sequence such that  $n \mathbb{P}(|X_0| > a_n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Condition  $D'$  implies

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_i| > a_n \mid |X_0| > a_n) = \frac{n \mathbb{P}(|X_0| > a_n, |X_i| > a_n)}{n \mathbb{P}(|X_0| > a_n)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for all positive integer  $i$ , that is, the variables  $|X_0|$  and  $|X_i|$  are asymptotically independent. As a consequence, the series  $(X_n)_n$  is regularly varying and its tail process is the same as that for an i.i.d. sequence, that is,  $Y_n = 0$  for  $n \neq 0$  and  $Y_0$  is as described in Subsection 2.1. It is trivially satisfied that no two values of  $(Y_n)_n$  are of the opposite sign.

Since the sequence  $(X_n)$  is strongly mixing, Condition 2.2 is verified. Condition 2.1 follows from condition  $D'$ , for the latter implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{|X_0|}{a_n} > x, \frac{|X_i|}{a_n} > x \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x > 0,$$

for any positive integer sequence  $(r_n)_n$  such that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If we additionally assume that the sequence  $(X_n)$  satisfies Condition 3.3 in case  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ , then by Theorem 3.4 the sequence of partial sum stochastic processes  $V_n(\cdot)$  converges in  $D[0, 1]$  with the  $M_1$  topology to an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process  $V(\cdot)$  with characteristic triple  $(0, \mu, 0)$  with  $\mu$  as in (2.4), just as in the i.i.d. case. It can be shown that the above convergence holds also in the  $J_1$  topology, see Krizmanić [28].

Condition 3.3 applies for instance if the series  $(X_n)_n$  is a function of a Gaussian causal ARMA process, i.e.  $X_n = f(A_n)$ , for some Borel function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and some Gaussian causal ARMA process  $(A_n)_n$ . From the results in Brockwell and Davis [11] and Pham and Tran [39] (see also Davis and Mikosch [16]) it follows that the sequence  $(A_n)_n$  satisfies the strong mixing condition with geometric rate. In this particular case this implies that the sequence  $(A_n)_n$  satisfies the  $\rho$ -mixing condition with geometric rate (see Kolmogorov and Rozanov [27, Theorem 2]), a property which transfers immediately to the series  $(X_n)_n$ . Hence by Proposition 3.7, Condition 3.3 holds.

*Example 4.2* (Stochastic volatility models). Consider the stochastic volatility model

$$X_n = \sigma_n Z_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where the noise sequence  $(Z_n)$  consists of i.i.d. regularly varying random variables with index  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , whereas the volatility sequence  $(\sigma_n)_n$  is strictly stationary, is independent of the sequence  $(Z_n)_n$ , and consists of positive random variables with finite  $4\alpha$ -th moment.

Since the random variables  $Z_i$  are independent and regularly varying, it follows that the sequence  $(Z_n)_n$  is regularly varying with index  $\alpha$ . By an application of the multivariate version of Breiman's lemma (see Proposition 5.1 in Basrak et al. [4]), the sequence  $(X_n)_n$  is regularly varying with index  $\alpha$  too.

From the results in Davis and Mikosch [17], it follows that

$$n \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > ta_n, |X_0| > ta_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.1)$$

for any  $t > 0$ , where  $(r_n)_n$  is a sequence of positive integers such that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r_n/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $(a_n)_n$  is a positive real sequence such that  $n \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > a_n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From this relation, as in Example 4.1, it follows that Condition 2.1 holds. Moreover, the tail process  $(Y_n)_n$  is the same as in the case of an i.i.d. sequence, that is,  $Y_n = 0$  for  $n \neq 0$ . In particular, the tail process has no two values of the opposite sign.

Assume that  $(\log \sigma_n)_n$  is a Gaussian casual ARMA process. Then  $(X_n)_n$  satisfies the strong mixing condition with geometric rate; see Davis and Mikosch [16]. Hence Condition 2.2 holds.

In case  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ , we also assume Condition 3.3 holds. Then all conditions in Theorem 3.4 are satisfied and we obtain the convergence of the partial sum stochastic process toward an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process with characteristic triple  $(0, \mu, 0)$ , with  $\mu$  as in (2.4).

*Example 4.3* (MA( $m$ ) models). Consider the finite order moving average defined by

$$X_n = \sum_{i=0}^m c_i Z_{n-i}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $(Z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an i.i.d. sequence of regularly varying random variables with index  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_0, \dots, c_m$  are nonnegative constants and at least  $c_0$  and  $c_m$  are not equal to 0. Take a sequence of positive real numbers  $(a_n)$  such that

$$n \mathbb{P}(|Z_1| > a_n) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

The finite-dimensional distributions of the series  $(X_n)_n$  can be seen to be multivariate regularly varying by an application of Proposition 5.1 in Basrak et al. [4]; see also Davis and Resnick [13]. Moreover, if we assume (without loss of generality) that  $\sum_{i=0}^m c_i^\alpha = 1$ , then also

$$n \mathbb{P}(|X_0| > a_n) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The tail process  $(Y_n)_n$  in (2.2) of the series  $(X_n)_n$  can be found by direct calculation. First,  $Y_0 = |Y_0|\Theta_0$  where  $|Y_0|$  and  $\Theta_0 = \text{sign}(Y_0)$  are independent with  $P(|Y_0| > y) = y^{-\alpha}$  for  $y \geq 1$  and  $P(\Theta_0 = 1) = p = 1 - P(\Theta_0 = -1)$ . Next, let  $K$  denote a random variable with values in the set  $\{0, \dots, m\}$ , independent of  $Y_0$ , and such that  $P(K = k) = |c_k|^\alpha$  (recall the assumption  $\sum_{i=0}^m c_i^\alpha = 1$ ). To simplify notation, put  $c_i := 0$  for  $i \notin \{0, \dots, m\}$ . Then

$$Y_n = (c_{n+K}/c_K) Y_0, \quad \Theta_n = (c_{n+K}/c_K) \Theta_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

represents the tail process and spectral process of  $(X_n)_n$ , respectively. Clearly, at most  $m+1$  values  $Y_n$  and  $\Theta_n$  are different from 0 and all have the same sign.

Since the sequence  $(X_n)$  is  $m$ -dependent, it is also strongly mixing, and therefore Condition 2.2 holds. By the same property it is easy to see that Condition 2.1 holds. Moreover, in view of Proposition 3.7, Condition 3.3 holds as well when  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ .

As a consequence, the sequence  $(X_n)_n$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.4, and the partial sum process converges towards a stable Lévy process  $V(\cdot)$ . The Lévy measure  $\nu$  can be derived from Remark 3.5: since (3.7) is trivially fulfilled, we obtain from (3.8) and (3.9),

$$\nu(dx) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^m c_i\right)^\alpha \left(p 1_{(0,\infty)}(x) + q 1_{(-\infty,0)}(x)\right) \alpha |x|^{-1-\alpha} dx,$$

which corresponds with the results in Davis and Resnick [13] and Davis and Hsing [14]. Further, if  $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ , then in the latter two references it is shown that

$$b = (p - q) \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=0}^m c_i\right)^\alpha - 1 \right\},$$

with  $q = 1 - p$ . The case when  $\alpha = 1$  can be treated similarly, but the corresponding expressions are much more complicated (see Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.3 in Davis and Hsing [14]), so we decided to omit them here.

Infinite order moving averages with nonnegative coefficients are considered in Avram and Taqqu [2]. In principle, one can approximate such processes by a sequence of finite order moving averages, for which Theorem 3.4 applies, and show that the error of approximation is negligible in the limit. We do not pursue this here, since the functional limit theorem for these processes already appears in [2].

*Example 4.4 (ARCH/GARCH models).* We consider the GARCH(1,1) model

$$X_n = \sigma_n Z_n,$$

where  $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E(Z_1) = 0$  and  $\text{var}(Z_1) = 1$ , and

$$\sigma_n^2 = \alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 Z_{n-1}^2 + \beta_1) \sigma_{n-1}^2, \quad (4.3)$$

with  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_1$  being nonnegative constants. Assume that  $\alpha_0 > 0$  and

$$-\infty \leq E \ln(\alpha_1 Z_1^2 + \beta_1) < 0.$$

Then there exists a strictly stationary solution to the stochastic recurrence equation (4.3); see Goldie [23] and Mikosch and Stărică [34]. The process  $(X_n)$  is then strictly stationary too. If  $\alpha_1 > 0$  and  $\beta_1 > 0$  it is called a GARCH(1,1) process, while if  $\alpha_1 > 0$  and  $\beta_1 = 0$  it is called an ARCH(1) process.

In the rest of the example we consider a stationary squared GARCH(1,1) process  $(X_n^2)_n$ . Assume that  $Z_1$  is symmetric, has a positive Lebesgue density on  $\mathbb{R}$  and there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}[(\alpha_1 Z_1^2 + \beta_1)^\alpha] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[(\alpha_1 Z_1^2 + \beta_1)^\alpha \ln(\alpha_1 Z_1^2 + \beta_1)] < \infty.$$

Then it is known that the processes  $(\sigma_n^2)_n$  and  $(X_n^2)_n$  are regularly varying with index  $\alpha$  and strongly mixing with geometric rate [4, 34]. Therefore the sequence  $(X_n^2)_n$  satisfies Condition 2.2. Condition 2.1 for the sequence  $(X_n^2)_n$  follows immediately from the results in Basrak et al. [4].

The (forward) tail process of the bivariate sequence  $((\sigma_n^2, X_n^2))_n$  is not too difficult to characterize, see Basrak and Segers [5]. Obviously, the tail process of  $(X_n^2)_n$  cannot have two values of the opposite sign.

If additionally Condition 3.3 holds when  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ , then by Theorem 3.4, the sequence of partial sum stochastic processes  $(V_n(\cdot))_n$ , defined by

$$V_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{X_k^2}{a_n} - \lfloor nt \rfloor \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{X_1^2}{a_n} 1_{\left\{ \frac{X_1^2}{a_n} \leq 1 \right\}} \right), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

converges weakly to an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process  $V(\cdot)$  in  $D[0, 1]$  under the  $M_1$  topology. Here  $(a_n)_n$  is a positive sequence such that  $n \mathbb{P}(X_0^2 > a_n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In case  $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ , the characteristic triple  $(0, \nu, b)$  of the stable random variable  $V(1)$  and thus of the stable Lévy process  $V(\cdot)$  can be determined from Bartkiewicz et al. [3, Proposition 4.6], Davis and Hsing [14, Remark 3.1] and Remark 3.6: after some calculations, we find

$$\nu(dx) = c_+ 1_{(0, \infty)}(x) \alpha x^{-\alpha-1} dx, \quad b = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (c_+ - 1),$$

where

$$c_+ = \frac{\mathbb{E}[(Z_0^2 + \tilde{T}_\infty)^\alpha - \tilde{T}_\infty^\alpha]}{\mathbb{E}(|Z_1|^{2\alpha})}, \quad \tilde{T}_\infty = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} Z_{t+1}^2 \prod_{i=1}^t (\alpha_1 Z_i^2 + \beta_1).$$

## Acknowledgements

Bojan Basrak's research was supported by the research grant MZOŠ project nr. 037-0372790-2800 of the Croatian government. Johan Segers gratefully acknowledges financial support from IAP research network grant nr. P6/03 of the Belgian government (Belgian Science Policy) and from the contract "Projet d'Actions de Recherche Concertées" nr. 07/12/002 of the Communauté française de Belgique, granted by the Académie universitaire Louvain.

## References

- [1] Aue, A., Berkes, I. and Horváth, L. (2008). Selection from a stable box. *Bernoulli* **14**, 125–139.
- [2] Avram, F. and Taqqu, M. (1992). Weak convergence of sums of moving averages in the  $\alpha$ -stable domain of attraction. *Ann. Probab.* **20**, 483–503.
- [3] Bartkiewicz, K., Jakubowski, A., Mikosch, T. and Wintenberger, O. (2009). Infinite variance stable limits for sums of dependent random variables. Available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.2717>.
- [4] Basrak, B., Davis, R. A. and Mikosch, T. (2002). Regular variation of GARCH processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **99**, 95–115.
- [5] Basrak, B. and Segers, J. (2009) Regularly varying multivariate time series. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **119**, 1055–1080.
- [6] Bertoin, J. (1996). *Lévy Processes*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 121, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [7] Billingsley, P. (1968). *Convergence of Probability Measures*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [8] Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M. and Teugels, J.L. (1989). *Regular variation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [9] Bradley, R.C. (2005). Basic Properties of Strong Mixing Conditions. A Survey and Some Open Questions. *Probab. Surv.*, Vol.2, 107–144.
- [10] Breiman, L. (1965). On some limit theorems similar to arc-sin law. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **10**, 323–331.
- [11] Brockwell, P. J. and Davis, R. A. (1991). *Time Series: Theory and Methods*. 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [12] Davis, R. A. (1983). Stable limits for partial sums of dependent random variables. *Ann. Probab.* **11**, 262–269.
- [13] Davis, R. A. and Resnick, S. I. (1985). Limit theory for moving averages of random variables with regularly varying tail probabilities. *Ann. Probab.* **13**, 179–195.
- [14] Davis, R. A. and Hsing, T. (1995). Point process and partial sum convergence for weakly dependent random variables with infinite variance. *Ann. Probab.*, **23**, 879–917.
- [15] Davis, R. A. and Mikosch, T. (1998). The sample autocorrelations of heavy-tailed processes with applications to ARCH. *Ann. Statist.*, **26**, 2049–2080.
- [16] Davis, R. A. and Mikosch, T. (2009). Probabilistic properties of stochastic volatility models. In: Anderson, T. G., Davis, R. A., Kreiss, J.-P. and Mikosch, T. (Eds.). *Handbook of Financial Time Series.*, Springer, 255–268.
- [17] Davis, R. A. and Mikosch, T. (2010). The extremogram: a correlogram for extreme events. *Bernoulli*, to appear.
- [18] Denker, M. and Jakubowski, A. (1989). Stable limit distributions for strongly mixing sequences. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, **8**, 477–483.
- [19] Durrett, R. and Resnick, S. I. (1978). Functional limit theorems for dependent variables. *Ann. Probab.* **6**, 829–846.
- [20] Durrett, R. (1996). *Probability: theory and examples*. 2nd edition, Duxbury Press, Wadsworth Publishing Company, USA.

- [21] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C. and Mikosch, T. (1997). *Modelling Extremal Events*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [22] Feller, W. (1971). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*. Vol. 2, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [23] Goldie, C. M. (1991). Implicit renewal theory and tails of solutions of random equations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **1**, 126–166.
- [24] Herrndorf, N. (1985). A functional central limit theorem for strongly mixing sequences of random variables. *Z. Wahr. verw. Gebiete* **69**, 541–550.
- [25] Kallenberg, O. (1983). *Random Measures, 3rd Edition*. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin.
- [26] Kallenberg, O. (1997) *Foundations of Modern Probability*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [27] Kolmogorov, A. N. and Rozanov, Y. A. (1960). On strong mixing conditions for stationary Gaussian process. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **5**, 204–208.
- [28] Krizmanić, D. (2010). *Functional limit theorems for weakly dependent regularly varying time series*. Ph.D. thesis, in progress. Available at: [http://www.math.uniri.hr/~dkrizmanic/DKthesis\(in-progress\).pdf](http://www.math.uniri.hr/~dkrizmanic/DKthesis(in-progress).pdf)
- [29] Leadbetter, M. R. and Rootzén, H. (1988). Extremal theory for stochastic processes. *Ann. Probab.* **16**, 431–478.
- [30] LePage, R., Woodroffe, M. and Zinn, J. (1981). Convergence to a stable distribution via order statistics. *Ann. Probab.* **9**, 624–632.
- [31] Lin, Z. Y. and Lu, C. R. (1997). *Limit Theory for Mixing Dependent Random Variables*. Mathematics and Its Application, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [32] Lindskog, F. (2004) *Multivariate Extremes and Regular Variation for Stochastic Processes*. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Mathematics, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zürich.
- [33] Merlevède, F. and Peligrad, M. (2000). The functional central limit theorem under the strong mixing condition. *Ann. Probab.* **28**, 1336–1352.
- [34] Mikosch, T. and Stărică, C. (2000). Limit theory for the sample autocorrelations and extremes of a GARCH(1,1) process. *Ann. Statist.* **28**, 1427–1451.
- [35] Mori, T. (1977). Limit distributions of two-dimensional point processes generated by strong mixing sequences. *Yokohama Math. J.* **25**, 155–168.
- [36] Peligrad, M. (1999). Convergence of stopped sums of weakly dependent random variables. *Electron. J. Probab.* **4**, 1–13.
- [37] Peligrad, M. and Utev, S. (2005). A new maximal inequality and invariance principle for stationary sequences. *Ann. Probab.* **33**, 798–815.
- [38] Petrov, V. V. (1995). *Limit Theorems of Probability Theory*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [39] Pham, T. D. and Tran, L. T. (1985). Some mixing properties of time series models. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **19**, 279–303.
- [40] Resnick, S. I. (1986). Point processes, regular variation and weak convergence. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **18**, 66–138.
- [41] Resnick, S. I. (2007). *Heavy-Tail Phenomena: Probabilistic and Statistical Modeling*. Springer Science+Business Media LLC, New York.
- [42] Samorodnitsky, G. and Taqqu, M.S. (1994). *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*. Chapman & Hall, New York.

- [43] Sato, K. (1999). *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 68, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [44] Sly, A. and Heyde, C. (2008). Nonstandard limit theorem for infinite variance functionals. *Ann. Probab.* **36**, 796–806.
- [45] Whitt, W. (2002). *Stochastic-Process Limits*. Springer-Verlag LLC, New York.